(2)

1896

No. 3278

Name of Petitioner, Harale Sowda Kate

Village, Dodda Kuti Kuvke

Taluk, Arsikere

District, Hasan.

Date of Arzi, 11-3-96

Date of Receipt, 24-3-96

Date of Disposal,

No. of Enclosure, 1

ABSTRACT.

States that the original records
the Appellants' family and that the
respondents used to conduct the du
of the office of Patel of Dodda Kuti K
jointly - that during the Settlemen
Police Effected after the Survey Settle
ed. Held the Hon. Dy. Comr. orde
that either Kavale Sowda, grand fa
of the Appellant, or Lidde Sowda, the
of the respondent, should be recom
and that one of them should be appo
as Patel of the village - that as they di
not consent to this it was ordered th
that they should get the matter Settle
by bringing a Suit - that accordingly the
respondents father Channa Basave Sowda
respondents father who had the Sam
with them files a Suit - that the
parties were co-heirs. Possession - th

26/3

Ver. Revd

Please put up the refer

26/3 L.R. 136-95-1

[illegible]

626/87 [illegible]

27-3-96

Please put up a copy of the Govt proceedings
3rd Jany 1894 about joint patels.

AS.
27/3/96

Spare copy of the Govt Proc^s of Jany 1894
to be put herewith.

Stamp sufficient

Ir. Line

Consl case

Called 3rd/4

6d

C. 30/3/96

31-3-96

Case proved
in favour
of
Appt

AS
4/4/96

4/4

Draft Ord

JMR 6/4

P. F. 67 of 96.

G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references.

1895-96
~~192~~ .
(L. R.)

File o. 136 of 95

Serial Nos. 1 to 16

Later references.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1 to 16.

on ~~Part~~ B for September 1895

SUBJECT.

Appeal of Hara Jagadra of Parlakimedi
against the Rate.

WD 2232—GPE—20,000—14-6-29

Indexed
CMB
Compared
R

the case was decided in respondents favor on the ground that the post of the Canungo was material - that the petr. then appealed to the Chief Secy who agreed in 1882-83 that he had been removed from office on the ground that only one Patel was enough - that by this he was not deprived of his hak and that he would get the office when it would fall vacant - that in spite of the said order he and his uncle Harale Gowda continued to conduct the duties of the office till 1895 - that in Febr-95 the Amildar took the charge of the office from him and delivered it to the respondent - that on this he complained to the Dy. Collr. the then Dy. Collr. who agreed that himself and the respondent should be joint Patels with a view to suppress existing factions in the village - that the respondent did not allow the order being carried out - then the Dy. Collr. agreed that work should be taken from both of them till the Jamabandi - that he conducted the duties accordingly - that during the Jamabandi held on 21-12-95 it was agreed that the respondent should be the Sole Patel of the village - that this is not in accordance with the order of Govt passed in 1894 enjoining that if there are two Patels in a village both of them should be allowed to continue to conduct the duties without depriving them of their respective claims - that if the Amildars report on the qualification of both of them were gone through it would be seen that he is more qualified to hold the office than the respondent -

Prays that on perusal of the records the order of the Dy. Collr. may be set aside by confirming his Hak to the office -

The order of the Dy. Collr (No. 748 of 21-12-95) above referred to is as follows: -

The records were gone through and Ramajje Gowda & Harale Gowda who had in person were heard -

Ramajje Gowda having formerly filed a suit in this court and established his hak to the office this Patel was registered in his name - Upon this Harale Gowda preferred appeal to Govt who dismissed the appeal stating that though Ramajje Gowda is proper claimant the office might be conferred on him (Harle Gowda) when it would be vacant - But as the office has not fallen vacant Harale Gowda has no claim to the present suspension and he must attend to his Hak - But if there any occasion to consider the claim of Harale Gowda on the ground of Ramajje Gowda incapability for further service - in which case it is necessary that the Patel should be tried by granting him time - One years time should be granted to the office which period a report should be submitted with the records as to the result of the trial when Ramajje Gowda should be in sole charge of the office of Patel - Harley who is in temporary charge of the office should be relieved.

24/3 HK.

Pet. A.S. No. 133 of 1896-97
1830-En 2 20-3-97 2
10-4-96

3

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE,

PETITION DEPARTMENT. (3)

L R 136-95-2

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM

The accompanying Appeal Petition No. 3278 dated 11th March 1896 received at the Dewan's Office from Harale Gouda s/o Sidda Gouda Hunse Chowdikere, Taluk, appealing against the order of Assistant Commissioner No: 748 d 21-Dec. 1895 regarding the Patelship of the said village

is forwarded, together with its enclosure, to the Deputy Commissioner of the Hassan District for submission of the entire proceedings connected with the case, together with a full report of the facts of the case, and on the points urged in the petition.

2. The petition with its enclosure should be returned.

By order,

Chief Secretary.

BANGALORE,

7-4-1896

To.

SMR.

M.G.C.P.B.—25-4-95—1,000

Gen. Serial No. 30.]

1896

Pet 4342/386

Records

From

L. R. 136-95-3 The Deputy Commissioner Hassan District.

To

The ~~Chief~~ Secretary to the Government ~~Dewan~~ of Mysore.
General & Revenue Depts
Bangalore.

Dated 23
Despatched 24 } December

Received

Enclosures No. Petn and Vernacular papers.

General No.
No. 571

In reply to No. P/1830 Dated 7th April 1896

SUBJECT.

Submitting report, on the petition from Charlegowda of Doddamatikunike, in the Arsikere Taluk, appealing against the Assistant Commissioner's order No. 748 of 21st December 1895, regarding the Potelis of the said village for

14-1-97

with reference to the Revenue Commissioner's order on the above subject

No. 11-3-96.

order of 21/12/95 gave for one year to C-/pet proceedings contemplated have yet taken place. 2 Counsellor MD 16/1/97

References (to be entered only in the Dewan's Office.)

MD 5/1

(14)

No: 571
Recd

Deputy Commissioner
Hassan D

Dated Hassan, 23rd D

From

B. L. Krishnaiyangar Esq
Offg: Deputy Commissio
Hassan District.

To

The Secretary to the Govt
Mysore
General & Revenue Departm
Bangalo

Sir,

With reference to your official m
-randum No: Petition / 1830 dated 7th April
forwarding for report with Proceedings
Petition No: 3278 dated 11th March 18
received from Harale Gowda of Dodd
hetikurke, Arsikere Taluk, appealing ag
the Deputy Commissioner's order of the 21st
December 1895, regarding the Patel of
said village, I have the honor to stat
follows:—

2. Copy of the Barabaluti Registe

Register (Paper No: 9 in the file) shows that Sidda— Ramégowda and— Ramégowda were registered Hucidar Patels. On account of the demise of Ramégowda, his sons Siddé Gowda, Channa Basavégowda and Sidda Ramégowda were registered and ordered to do duty under order No: 163 dated 24th November 1867.

3. Channa Basavé Gowda appears to have been dismissed in or about the year 1876 and on an appeal to the then division Commissioner, that officer appointed Channa-Basavégowda's infant son Ramajjégowda as Patél and nominated his uncle Siddé Gowda to act for him. In the course of the carrying out of the Government order No 3713-20/G.660 of the 28th September 1880, directing the appointment of only one Patél to a village, it was found that Channa Basavégowda urged the claims of his infant son Ramajjé Gowda, and Halalé Gowda the present appellant put forward his claim to the office as against Channa Basavé—

copy produced

Ghanna Basave Gowda's infant son. The claim was found to be involved in some doubt and the parties were referred to a regular suit.

4. Ghanna Basave Gowda, on behalf of his minor son Ramajje Gowda filed a suit against Harale Gowda (the present appellant) in the Court of the Deputy Commissioner, Hassan District in O.S. No 16 of 1881-82. It was therein decreed by Mr. P. R. Krishna Murti, the then Deputy Commissioner, that Ramajje Gowda was the superior Huckdar and accordingly he was confirmed as Patel of the Doddamethikuppe village. Harale Gowda (present appellant) appealed to Government against this order of the Deputy Commissioner, and he was given an endorsement No: 626/87 dated 12th June 1882 that the order of the Deputy Commissioner would not prejudice his claims for consideration on the occurrance of a vacancy.

5. Though the case was thus disposed of, yet owing to the minority of Ramajje Gowda and his gumastas being found incompetent to discharge the duties, Ramajje Gowda's uncle Siddé Gowda, Haralé Gowda's grand-father's brother Maruté Gowda and Haralé Gowda (appellant) were allowed to do the duties jointly. In 1884-85, Ramajjé Gowda's uncle Siddé Gowda died. Since then, appellant Haralé Gowda's grand-father's brother Maruté Gowda and Haralé Gowda did the duties till September 1894, when the Amildar recommended that as the village was divided into two factions and as it was also impossible for one Patél to manage the work, one may be appointed for Revenue duties and the other for Police duties. Vide Government Proceedings No: 10267-95 dated 31st January 1894. Mr Ramaiya in his order No: 906 dated 26th January 1895 directed that both of them might be appointed joint Patéls under the Government order referred to and added

that the factions spirit in the village — Should be put down with the aid of the two Peons as they had agreed to do so and — report within 3 months as to the result. The Amildar in his No: 13 dated 5th July 1895 reported that Ramajjé Gowda being young was under the influence of mischievous people and would not agree to the proposed arrangement and that the village being a big one, (Jamabandy Rs 1410-8-a & Potagi Rs 42-4-a), two patels were necessary. He recommended that Haralé Gowda for the Office as he had done the duty satisfactorily.

6. The Amildar again reported in his No: 234 dated 5th August 95 that Ramajjé Gowda did not pay attention to the orders issued — regarding the repair of tanks and the — maintenance of the avenue trees, and that it was impossible to take work from him

7- The late Mr Krishnaswamy Iyengar, thereupon in his No: 211 dated 14th August 1895, appointed Haralé Gowda temporarily as joint Patel till the ensuing Jamabandy, when, he said, in the presence of the villagers, he

he would settle the matter personally.

8. 10 The case coming on for disposal before him on the 21st December 1895, he recorded an order in the following terms:—

"Ramajjé Gowda was, in suit filed by him in this Court, declared to have superi claims and was registered accordingly. Harale Gowda appealed to Government but his appeal was virtually dismissed as against Ramajjé Gowda though his claim was declare entitled to consideration on the occurrence of a vacancy. A vacancy has not now occurred. Hence Harale Gowda has no right to be brought in. The only ground on which Harale Gowda need be appointed is that Ramajjé Gowda, a young and inexperienced youth is not equa to his work. But before he is declared unequal to the duties of his office, a further trial should be given. The matter should be placed before me at the end of another year. Till then Ramajjé Gowda should be sole Patil." Thus stands the case.

9. The petition and its enclosures receive

received with the affidl Memo under-
reference are herewith returned
together with the Vernacular papers connected
with the case ending with Asikéré Amidou's
report No: 48 dated 7th August 1896 for-
needful reference and obliging return.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant

For Offg: Deputy Commissioner
Q Hassan district.

COURT FEE

FOUR ANNAS

COURT FEE

FOUR ANNAS

COURT FEE

FOUR ANNAS

COURT FEE

FOUR ANNAS

COURT FEE

FOUR ANNAS

COURT FEE

FOUR ANNAS

ਕਰਮਚਾਰੀ ਨੂੰ ਦਿੱਤੇ ਜਾਣ ਵਾਲੇ ਵਿਸ਼ੇਸ਼ ਭੱਤੇ ਦੀ ਰਕਮ ਦੀ ਅਦਾਇਗੀ ਲਈ ਸਰਕਾਰ ਵੱਲੋਂ ਜਾਰੀ ਕੀਤੇ ਗਏ ਨਵੇਂ ਹੁਕਮਾਂ ਅਨੁਸਾਰ ਸਰਕਾਰੀ ਸਕੂਲਾਂ ਦੇ ਅਧਿਆਪਕਾਂ ਨੂੰ

ਸਾਰੇ ਹੀ ਵਿਦਿਆਰਥੀ ਅਤੇ ਵਿਦਿਆਰਥਣਾਂ ਨੂੰ ਸਕੂਲ ਵਿੱਚ ਹਰ ਰੋਜ਼ ਸਵੇਰ ਦੀ ਪ੍ਰਾਰਥਨਾ ਸਭਾ ਵਿੱਚ ਹਾਜ਼ਰ ਹੋਣਾ ਜ਼ਰੂਰੀ ਹੈ। ਪ੍ਰਾਰਥਨਾ ਸਭਾ ਵਿੱਚ ਹਾਜ਼ਰ ਹੋਣ ਵਾਲੇ ਵਿਦਿਆਰਥੀਆਂ ਨੂੰ ਹੀ ਸਕੂਲ ਵਿੱਚ ਦਾਖਲ ਹੋਣ ਦੀ ਆਗਿਆ ਦਿੱਤੀ ਜਾਵੇਗੀ। ਪ੍ਰਾਰਥਨਾ ਸਭਾ ਵਿੱਚ ਹਾਜ਼ਰ ਹੋਣ ਵਾਲੇ ਵਿਦਿਆਰਥੀਆਂ ਨੂੰ ਹੀ ਸਕੂਲ ਵਿੱਚ ਦਾਖਲ ਹੋਣ ਦੀ ਆਗਿਆ ਦਿੱਤੀ ਜਾਵੇਗੀ। ਪ੍ਰਾਰਥਨਾ ਸਭਾ ਵਿੱਚ ਹਾਜ਼ਰ ਹੋਣ ਵਾਲੇ ਵਿਦਿਆਰਥੀਆਂ ਨੂੰ ਹੀ ਸਕੂਲ ਵਿੱਚ ਦਾਖਲ ਹੋਣ ਦੀ ਆਗਿਆ ਦਿੱਤੀ ਜਾਵੇਗੀ।

ਸਾਰੇ ਹੀ ਵਿਦਿਆਰਥੀ ਅਤੇ ਵਿਦਿਆਰਥਣਾਂ ਨੂੰ ਸਕੂਲ ਵਿੱਚ ਹਰ ਰੋਜ਼ ਸਵੇਰ ਦੀ ਪ੍ਰਾਰਥਨਾ ਸਭਾ ਵਿੱਚ ਹਾਜ਼ਰ ਹੋਣਾ ਜ਼ਰੂਰੀ ਹੈ। ਪ੍ਰਾਰਥਨਾ ਸਭਾ ਵਿੱਚ ਹਾਜ਼ਰ ਹੋਣ ਵਾਲੇ ਵਿਦਿਆਰਥੀਆਂ ਨੂੰ ਹੀ ਸਕੂਲ ਵਿੱਚ ਦਾਖਲ ਹੋਣ ਦੀ ਆਗਿਆ ਦਿੱਤੀ ਜਾਵੇਗੀ। ਪ੍ਰਾਰਥਨਾ ਸਭਾ ਵਿੱਚ ਹਾਜ਼ਰ ਹੋਣ ਵਾਲੇ ਵਿਦਿਆਰਥੀਆਂ ਨੂੰ ਹੀ ਸਕੂਲ ਵਿੱਚ ਦਾਖਲ ਹੋਣ ਦੀ ਆਗਿਆ ਦਿੱਤੀ ਜਾਵੇਗੀ।

ਸਾਰੇ ਹੀ ਵਿਦਿਆਰਥੀ ਅਤੇ ਵਿਦਿਆਰਥਣਾਂ ਨੂੰ ਸਕੂਲ ਵਿੱਚ ਹਰ ਰੋਜ਼ ਸਵੇਰ ਦੀ ਪ੍ਰਾਰਥਨਾ ਸਭਾ ਵਿੱਚ ਹਾਜ਼ਰ ਹੋਣਾ ਜ਼ਰੂਰੀ ਹੈ। ਪ੍ਰਾਰਥਨਾ ਸਭਾ ਵਿੱਚ ਹਾਜ਼ਰ ਹੋਣ ਵਾਲੇ ਵਿਦਿਆਰਥੀਆਂ ਨੂੰ ਹੀ ਸਕੂਲ ਵਿੱਚ ਦਾਖਲ ਹੋਣ ਦੀ ਆਗਿਆ ਦਿੱਤੀ ਜਾਵੇਗੀ। ਪ੍ਰਾਰਥਨਾ ਸਭਾ ਵਿੱਚ ਹਾਜ਼ਰ ਹੋਣ ਵਾਲੇ ਵਿਦਿਆਰਥੀਆਂ ਨੂੰ ਹੀ ਸਕੂਲ ਵਿੱਚ ਦਾਖਲ ਹੋਣ ਦੀ ਆਗਿਆ ਦਿੱਤੀ ਜਾਵੇਗੀ।

ਸਾਰੇ ਹੀ ਵਿਦਿਆਰਥੀ ਅਤੇ ਵਿਦਿਆਰਥਣਾਂ ਨੂੰ ਸਕੂਲ ਵਿੱਚ ਹਰ ਰੋਜ਼ ਸਵੇਰ ਦੀ ਪ੍ਰਾਰਥਨਾ ਸਭਾ ਵਿੱਚ ਹਾਜ਼ਰ ਹੋਣਾ ਜ਼ਰੂਰੀ ਹੈ। ਪ੍ਰਾਰਥਨਾ ਸਭਾ ਵਿੱਚ ਹਾਜ਼ਰ ਹੋਣ ਵਾਲੇ ਵਿਦਿਆਰਥੀਆਂ ਨੂੰ ਹੀ ਸਕੂਲ ਵਿੱਚ ਦਾਖਲ ਹੋਣ ਦੀ ਆਗਿਆ ਦਿੱਤੀ ਜਾਵੇਗੀ। ਪ੍ਰਾਰਥਨਾ ਸਭਾ ਵਿੱਚ ਹਾਜ਼ਰ ਹੋਣ ਵਾਲੇ ਵਿਦਿਆਰਥੀਆਂ ਨੂੰ ਹੀ ਸਕੂਲ ਵਿੱਚ ਦਾਖਲ ਹੋਣ ਦੀ ਆਗਿਆ ਦਿੱਤੀ ਜਾਵੇਗੀ।

ਸਾਰੇ ਹੀ ਵਿਦਿਆਰਥੀ ਅਤੇ ਵਿਦਿਆਰਥਣਾਂ ਨੂੰ ਸਕੂਲ ਵਿੱਚ ਹਰ ਰੋਜ਼ ਸਵੇਰ ਦੀ ਪ੍ਰਾਰਥਨਾ ਸਭਾ ਵਿੱਚ ਹਾਜ਼ਰ ਹੋਣਾ ਜ਼ਰੂਰੀ ਹੈ। ਪ੍ਰਾਰਥਨਾ ਸਭਾ ਵਿੱਚ ਹਾਜ਼ਰ ਹੋਣ ਵਾਲੇ ਵਿਦਿਆਰਥੀਆਂ ਨੂੰ ਹੀ ਸਕੂਲ ਵਿੱਚ ਦਾਖਲ ਹੋਣ ਦੀ ਆਗਿਆ ਦਿੱਤੀ ਜਾਵੇਗੀ। ਪ੍ਰਾਰਥਨਾ ਸਭਾ ਵਿੱਚ ਹਾਜ਼ਰ ਹੋਣ ਵਾਲੇ ਵਿਦਿਆਰਥੀਆਂ ਨੂੰ ਹੀ ਸਕੂਲ ਵਿੱਚ ਦਾਖਲ ਹੋਣ ਦੀ ਆਗਿਆ ਦਿੱਤੀ ਜਾਵੇਗੀ।

ਸਾਰੇ ਹੀ ਵਿਦਿਆਰਥੀ ਅਤੇ ਵਿਦਿਆਰਥਣਾਂ ਨੂੰ ਸਕੂਲ ਵਿੱਚ ਹਰ ਰੋਜ਼ ਸਵੇਰ ਦੀ ਪ੍ਰਾਰਥਨਾ ਸਭਾ ਵਿੱਚ ਹਾਜ਼ਰ ਹੋਣਾ ਜ਼ਰੂਰੀ ਹੈ। ਪ੍ਰਾਰਥਨਾ ਸਭਾ ਵਿੱਚ ਹਾਜ਼ਰ ਹੋਣ ਵਾਲੇ ਵਿਦਿਆਰਥੀਆਂ ਨੂੰ ਹੀ ਸਕੂਲ ਵਿੱਚ ਦਾਖਲ ਹੋਣ ਦੀ ਆਗਿਆ ਦਿੱਤੀ ਜਾਵੇਗੀ। ਪ੍ਰਾਰਥਨਾ ਸਭਾ ਵਿੱਚ ਹਾਜ਼ਰ ਹੋਣ ਵਾਲੇ ਵਿਦਿਆਰਥੀਆਂ ਨੂੰ ਹੀ ਸਕੂਲ ਵਿੱਚ ਦਾਖਲ ਹੋਣ ਦੀ ਆਗਿਆ ਦਿੱਤੀ ਜਾਵੇਗੀ।

ਸਾਰੇ ਹੀ ਵਿਦਿਆਰਥੀ ਅਤੇ ਵਿਦਿਆਰਥਣਾਂ ਨੂੰ ਸਕੂਲ ਵਿੱਚ ਹਰ ਰੋਜ਼ ਸਵੇਰ ਦੀ ਪ੍ਰਾਰਥਨਾ ਸਭਾ ਵਿੱਚ ਹਾਜ਼ਰ ਹੋਣਾ ਜ਼ਰੂਰੀ ਹੈ। ਪ੍ਰਾਰਥਨਾ ਸਭਾ ਵਿੱਚ ਹਾਜ਼ਰ ਹੋਣ ਵਾਲੇ ਵਿਦਿਆਰਥੀਆਂ ਨੂੰ ਹੀ ਸਕੂਲ ਵਿੱਚ ਦਾਖਲ ਹੋਣ ਦੀ ਆਗਿਆ ਦਿੱਤੀ ਜਾਵੇਗੀ। ਪ੍ਰਾਰਥਨਾ ਸਭਾ ਵਿੱਚ ਹਾਜ਼ਰ ਹੋਣ ਵਾਲੇ ਵਿਦਿਆਰਥੀਆਂ ਨੂੰ ਹੀ ਸਕੂਲ ਵਿੱਚ ਦਾਖਲ ਹੋਣ ਦੀ ਆਗਿਆ ਦਿੱਤੀ ਜਾਵੇਗੀ।

ਸਾਰੇ ਹੀ ਵਿਦਿਆਰਥੀ ਅਤੇ ਵਿਦਿਆਰਥਣਾਂ ਨੂੰ ਸਕੂਲ ਵਿੱਚ ਹਰ ਰੋਜ਼ ਸਵੇਰ ਦੀ ਪ੍ਰਾਰਥਨਾ ਸਭਾ ਵਿੱਚ ਹਾਜ਼ਰ ਹੋਣਾ ਜ਼ਰੂਰੀ ਹੈ। ਪ੍ਰਾਰਥਨਾ ਸਭਾ ਵਿੱਚ ਹਾਜ਼ਰ ਹੋਣ ਵਾਲੇ ਵਿਦਿਆਰਥੀਆਂ ਨੂੰ ਹੀ ਸਕੂਲ ਵਿੱਚ ਦਾਖਲ ਹੋਣ ਦੀ ਆਗਿਆ ਦਿੱਤੀ ਜਾਵੇਗੀ। ਪ੍ਰਾਰਥਨਾ ਸਭਾ ਵਿੱਚ ਹਾਜ਼ਰ ਹੋਣ ਵਾਲੇ ਵਿਦਿਆਰਥੀਆਂ ਨੂੰ ਹੀ ਸਕੂਲ ਵਿੱਚ ਦਾਖਲ ਹੋਣ ਦੀ ਆਗਿਆ ਦਿੱਤੀ ਜਾਵੇਗੀ।

ਸਤਿਕਾਰ ਸਹਿਤ ਬੇਨਤੀ ਹੈ ਕਿ ਉਪਰੋਕਤ ਵਿਸ਼ੇ ਅਧੀਨ ਲਿਖਣਾ ਹੈ ਕਿ ਮੈਂ ਇਸ ਸਕੂਲ ਵਿਚ ਪਿਛਲੇ ਕਈ ਸਾਲਾਂ ਤੋਂ ਪੜ੍ਹਾ ਰਿਹਾ ਹਾਂ। ਮੇਰੀ ਤਨਖਾਹ ਹਰ ਮਹੀਨੇ ਦੀ ਪਹਿਲੀ ਤਾਰੀਖ ਨੂੰ ਮਿਲ ਜਾਂਦੀ ਹੈ। ਪਰ ਇਸ ਵਾਰ ਮੈਨੂੰ ਤਨਖਾਹ ਨਹੀਂ ਮਿਲੀ। ਮੈਂ ਆਪ ਜੀ ਨੂੰ ਬੇਨਤੀ ਕਰਦਾ ਹਾਂ ਕਿ ਮੇਰੀ ਤਨਖਾਹ ਜਲਦੀ ਤੋਂ ਜਲਦੀ ਜਾਰੀ ਕੀਤੀ ਜਾਵੇ।

ਧੰਨਵਾਦ।

ਆਪ ਜੀ ਦਾ ਆਗਿਆਕਾਰ
ਅਮਰਜੀਤ ਸਿੰਘ

Kawal No: 626

COURT FEE
TEN RUPEES

(1)

3

4

5

6

Elle Petitpierre

22 (5)

(General Serial No. 30.)

1897.

From

The ~~Chief~~ Secretary to the ~~Dewan~~ Govt. of Mysore.

L.R. 136-95-4

To The Deputy Commr
Hassan Dt

Dated 11th February 1897

Despatched 15

Received

Enclosures No. one Vernacular file.

General No. 7269 f

P. No. 134

Sir Letter No. 871 of
23rd December 1896, re-
-porting on the
petition from Kanigowda of Hodda
Hitikuruke, in
the Arsikere Taluk.

Has the honor kindly to
request that he would
be so good as to report
the further action taken
by him or the steps he
would propose to take
in the matter of the petition
under appeal, as the
Government require
final

trial granted by
him & Ramaji
Gonda, has terminated.

The annexed circular is
hereby sent with
his letter under reply,
is herewith sent
for reference and
for re-submission
with the report called
for in the above.

By order

Secretary

Draft
ill

(6) 1786

24 Gen. Serial No. 30.]

1897

DEWAN'S OFFICE DEPARTMENT
DIARY No. 8438
14 JUN. 97
DEWAN'S OFFICE

Rep-but asp.
Ath
16/6/97.

Open file Ath
16/6/97

L.R. 136-95-5

From

The Dy Commissioner
Bangalore Dist.

To

The Chief Secretary to the
Government of Mysore.
General and Revenue Departments
Bangalore

Dated 10th June 1897

Despatched "

Received

Enclosures No. V.F. file

General No.
No. 1165

In reply to Lr. No. 126a dy 11 February 97

SUBJECT

Submitting further report on certain points in regard to the office of Potails of the Doddaballapur Kasaba, Nandidurg Taluk

Ath Peth 14/6/97

References (to be entered only in the Dewan's Office.)

Rep-but asp.
Ath
16/6/97.

Open file Ath
16/6/97

L.R. 136-95-5

Submitted with reference to the order thereon of Dy Comr's previous report

M 18/6/97

Rob. 20 Pin.
18/6/97.

The matter of the Dy Comr's increasing the establishment of Patwaris for the Doddaballapur Taluk was preliminary & the usual authorities are agreed in stating that this was competent to the discharge the duties rightly under the circumstances the papers may be sent back to the Dy Comr for his final settlement as proposed by him.

Ath 24/6 19/6/97

To Mr. Thomson
Dist. Supt. of Police, Bangalore

No. 1165
Rev

Dy Commissioner's Office,
Hassan District.

Dated, Hassan, 10th June 1897

From,

The Dy Commissioner,
Hassan District.

To,

The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore,
General and Rev. Department
Bangalore

Sir,

With reference to your Docket No: 2269 / P. 1814 dated 11/15th February 1897, calling for a report as to the steps that would be proposed to be taken in the matter of the Patels of the Dodda Nellisandra village, as the one Nari Erad granted to Ramajigi-Gowda, the present officiating Patel terminated, I have the honor to state that the Amildar of Channarayapatna reports in his Office No. 917 dated 9th March 1897, that Ramajigowda has not paid due attention to the repair of tanks

and

and the maintenance of the avenue trees and roads and that he is not able to discharge the Potali duties singly. His opinion of the Auditor is supported by Mr. Knight Assistant Commissioner in charge and Samabandi officer of the Palus (vide his ad. No. 444 Dated 30th March 1897). The Assistant Commissioner suggests that the village being a big one, two Patils are necessary and that therefore both Ramajjigowda and Kanalé Gowda may be appointed joint Patels as directed by Mr. Ramaiya, under Government Proceedings No: 10267-75/1055 Dated 3d January 1894. I fully endorse the opinion of the Assistant Commissioner and submit the case for final orders.

Patagi: Rs 442-4-0

Samabandi: Rs: 1510-8-0

2 ——— The Vernacular papers connected with the case ending

with

with General Duty Assistant Com-
missioner's yadi no. 449/2416 Dated 30th
March 1897, are herewith resubmitted
for reference and obliging return.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

H.S. Commissioner
Kaiser Division

28 (8) 1897 28-6-97.

From

The Secretary to Govt
Govt and Rev dept

To

The Deputy Commissioner
Hassan Dt.

L.R. 136-95-6.

Dated 26th
Despatched 28 June/97
Received
General No. 11468.
P.P. No. 67-96 (1845)

Enclosure No. X

Nos: 3278 & 3619
Ven: records -

The Dy. Com's letter No 1165 dated 10-6-97 submitting a further report on the petition of Hatkalé gowda of Doddamelikunke in the Arsikere Taluk, regarding the Paléli of Doddamelé Kunike village in the said Taluk.

I have the honor to return herewith the papers received with the above to the Deputy Commr for his final settlement as proposed by him in the above.

By order
for Secretary.

24/6/97

The writs a petition from Ramappa Gowda

29

L.R. 136-95-7

References
11/8/97.

1897

No. 405

Name of Petitioner Ramajee Gowda, Pate

Village Gudda Mettikatte

Taluk Hosakere

District Hassan

Date of Appl 4-8-97.

Date of Receipt 5-8-97

Date of Disposal

No. of Enclosure Three

ABSTRACT.

The Petitioner states as follows:-
1. The Petitioner's ancestors were the Patels of Gudda Mettikatte. During the Petitioner's minority, one Hanke Gowda was appointed to act for him.
2. Though Hanke Gowda had [illegible] to the Patel, yet he refused to [illegible] Patel to the Petitioner, when the latter [illegible] his majority. The Deputy Commissioner decided on Revenue Suit No. 3 [illegible] that Petitioner was the superior Hanke Hanke Gowda appealed to Government. The Deputy Commissioner passed order dated 21-12-95 to the Amildar, Hosakere Taluk. The order is as follows:—

"Ramaiah Ramajee Gowda filed a suit in this Court. He was declared to have superior title. The Patel has been accordingly registered in his name. Hanke Gowda appealed to Government. Government decided that though Ramajee Gowda had superior claim, the Patel might be continued on the application on [illegible] the severance for vacancy, and dismissed the appeal. It is not the

proper time for Hari Gowda to bring forward his claims. No vacancies now occurred. The only ground on which Hari Gowda can bring forward his claim is that the Karnamkudar is incompetent to do the duties. This necessary to give a trial to the Karnamkudar. The matter should be reported to this office with the records after one year. Till then Kanaja Gowda should be the sole Patel. Hari Gowda who was appointed temporarily, should be removed." From a perusal of the above order it will be evident that the Taluk authorities had been trying for a long time to make Hari Gowda Hakdar.

3. With this sole intention the Taluk authorities continued to report that the village required two Patels. Hari Gowda is influential and wealthy. The Taluk authorities sided with him. The Deputy Commissioner therefore issued order No 21 dated 14-8-95 to the effect that Hari Gowda should be appointed temporarily as joint Patel till the Jamabandy, and that he should then settle the matter.

Hari Gowda was accordingly granted joint Patel.

Though the Petitioner did his duties satisfactorily, the Taluk authorities reported to the Jamabandy Officer that he (Petitioner) was not equal to his work, and that Hari Gowda might be confirmed in his joint Patel. The Deputy Commissioner reported the matter to Government who granted ~~him~~ suspension vide G.O. 3617 dated 30-6-97 to the Petitioner.

As the Taluk authorities sided with Hari Gowda, the Deputy Commissioner was not equal to his work. There is no reference to show that Petitioner appeared before the Deputy Commissioner and explained to him. There is only one Patel for village in all villages of the Province. There are no complaints against ~~against~~ his system. The Petitioners village contains 30 or 40 houses. The Jamabandy is annual. The Petitioner is capable of discharging the duties of the Patel of another Hobli in addition to his own duties.

Petitioner prays that he may be appointed sole Patel of the village

Pet 8.67-96

(13)

21-8-97

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE.

PETITION DEPARTMENT.

L.R. 136-95-9

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

The accompanying Appeal Petition

Registered No. 403 dated 4-8-97

received at the Dewan's Office from

Ramajje Gowda Patel of Dodda Meti Kurke Arsikere Taluk

praying that he may be appointed ~~the~~ sole Patel of that village and that Hanle Gowda now ~~the~~ joint Patel be removed from his office

is forwarded, together with its three enclosures to the Deputy Commissioner of Hassan District for disposal, with reference to this office docket No. 11468 PF 67-96 dated 26th June 1897.

(Sd.)

By order,

Secretary.

BANGALORE,

20th August 1897.

Reference 6/12/97

L. R 136-95-10 38 (14)

1897

Rev. No 11468 & 26-6-97.
P.367.796

Ref 67796 part copy.

Petn No. 1063 9/7/97 x
Item it was subm to 2nd Cr.
on 6/12/97.

Submitted
(1) Two Rs thro' office
(2) Receipt thro' Dy Comr
6/12-7-97 BK
8/12/97

Sub'd
Memo issued on 12/7/97
& the appeal petn = Rows received
on 1/12/97. Hence the appeal
is out of time by one month &
9 days. Delay not
explained

My 16/12/97

14-12-97

No. 1410 M 56/97-98

Name of Petitioner Ramajja & others

Village Haradanahalli

Taluk Arsikere

District Hassan

Date of Arzi 29-11-'97

Date of Receipt 1-12-'97

Date of Disposal

No. of Enclosure 6

ABSTRACT

Rev No 8

Confirmation of the Secretary's
Docket No 11468
P. 67-96 & 26-6-97
sanctioning the appoint-
ment of Haradanahalli
a joint Patel with Ramajja
Gowda for Doddametti-
kurike village, the Dy
Comr Hassan passed
the following order No 32
& 12-7-'97

" The appeal-petn Hara-
dahalli should be registered
as joint patel. Bill should
be effected between

the two patels in proportion to their capabilities, so that one may look after the Police-work while the other does the Rev. work. The details about this distribution should be given in your (Guildal's) report. Pay should be divided equally between them."

The Petr states

1 that Doddakurike village is a small one the patelki of which was enjoyed from time immemorial by his family

2 that the Govt. order No. 211, of 16-August-'95 (vide Cir. [illegible]) appointing Hanalegowda as a temporary joint patel (until the next Jamabandi) was necessitated by the report of the Guildal which said that the village was a big one, and that the Petr was unable to cope with the work; —

3 that in the regular suit* it was settled by the Hon'ble Dr Commr (P. N. Krishnamurti Esq.) that the Petr was the full hakdar; —

* Rev. No. 16, of 1895-96 vide Sec.

4 that when the time of Jamabandi came the late Mr Krishnaiengar, the Hon'ble Dr Commr of Hassan, placed the Petr in full charge of the patel

Order No. 708, of 21-12-'96 vide Sec.

5 that he appealed to this Office on 4-8-'97 the result of which was communicated in the Dr Commr's Order No. 87, of 1897 (the second at the beginning of this abstract)

35

6 That since the resumption of charge by full he has been working satisfactorily ;—

7 That the Amildar having been disappointed in his attempt to get the patel to Handegonda proceeded to ~~find fault~~ pick holes in the work of the Pet.v and to create by his reports in the mind of the Asst. Collector a bad opinion about him ;—

8. Prays that this his appeal Pet.n may not be sent again to the Dy Collector, but that all records relating to the case be called for, and equitable order passed.

He also prays that, if it should be deemed necessary that there should be 2 patels to the village, his own man may be appointed

---

Enc—
Dy Collr's order to Amildar
No. 211, dy 14/6-8-'95—
about the temporary appt of
Handegonda patil

Untd Jdmdbaudi-tions.

2 decision of the Dfcourt in
Rev. Suit No 216 of 1881-82

3 Dfcourt's order No 7 Ex, ~~of~~
confirming full patell on the pets

4 Dfcourt's Hukum to Pet[x]

5 do do
explaining the abbt of Kandajonda
joint patel with the pet[x], and
basing the reasons for such abbt on
the reports of the Mamlatdar & Asst Comr
~~of~~ referred to in para 7 of the pet[n].

6. Dfcourt's order - to be found in the
beginning of abstract.

4/12/9

References

L.R. 136-95-11

(15)

37

1898

No. 1707

Name of Petitioner Ramaiyé Gowda

Village Coda Hedamurke

Taluk Arsikere

District Hassan

Date of Arzi 25-12-97

Date of Receipt 3-1-98

Date of Disposal

No. of Enclosure one file

ABSTRACT

With reference to Govt. Order No: 1410 dated 13-12-97 granting as the cause of delay in preferring his appeal one month & nine days after the time allowed for filing such appeals the petitioner states that his former petition addressed to Govt. in the matter of the Taluk office was sent to the Honble Govt. for disposal with order No: 4468 dated 26-6-97 that the Honble Govt. gave

14 Postage Govt. post-ship.

17/1/98

Put up the files also.

18.1.98

O.S. 67896 relating to this subject matter is put up.

18/1/98

Sent

19.1.98

1) Stamp Appeal
2) out of time by 1 month 9 days
- explained by petr as due to the fact that his petn dated 4/8/97 was sent to DC (Govt) for disposal. This was done because petr did not produce the DC (Govt) order & concealed the fact of disposal
3) appeal against by complaining one Ramaiah Gowda as joint patel of Dodda Mattiganahalli of which petr was for some time the patel
4) appears to be a criminal case
The village is said to be large, with a land revenue of Rs 1410-8-0. The pattadar concerned pays Rs 42-4-0 only. Petr neglected his duties & was apparently incapable of attending to them properly. The petr is also a habitual drunkard.

Rd 22/1/98

25.1.98

22/1/98

Case may be laid before the Board

Mr 31/1/98

Recd 28/1/98

order no: 7 d/14-7-97 to the
petitioner & no: 32 d/12-7-97
& the annexure, that the petitioner
applied to the annexure for
a copy of the Dipty Commrs
said order no: 32 d/12-7-97
and got it on 21-7-97, that
calculating the period from
21-7-97 there is a delay of
1 month & 9 days as observed
by Govt: & that after securing
the copy above referred
&, he submitted a petition
to Govt: on 4-8-97 a second
time which also was sent &
the objections for revisal
with D. Dn no: 67 d/20-8-97 & Govt no 13
that the objections again
~~in the~~ impugned order no: ? dated
29-8-97 which reached
the petitioner on 13-9-97
and that calculating the
period from the said 13th Sept 97
the appeal is within time.

Prays that Equitable orders
may be passed on a kind
perusal of the enclosures.

15/1/98

Pet 767-98 P2 (962) 3-2-98

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE.

PETITION DEPARTMENT.

L.R. 136-95-12

File contains Pers 1707

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

The accompanying Appeal Petition No. 1440 dated 29-11-97 received at the Dewan's Office from Ramajje Gowda patel of Doddamotekurke Hob Kere Taluk appealing against the order of the Dy Comr Hassan Dis. Nr 3207/2-7-97 directing one Hanke Gowda to be appointed as joint patel and the potji to be distributed equally between them is forwarded, together with its seven enclosures, to the Deputy Commissioner of Hassan District for submission of the entire proceedings connected with the case, together with a full report of the facts of the case, and on the points urged in the petition.

2. The petition with its enclosures should be returned.

By order,

for Secretary.

BANGALORE,
2/3 February 1898

(Dft C)

Petition Branch H.O (17)

Gen. Serial No. 30.]

189?

From

The Dy Commissioner
Kadur District

To

The Chief Secretary to the
Government of Mysore,
Revenue and Re Department
Bangalore

Dated 14th March, 98

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No. Petn & Pp?

General No.
No. 912

In reply to Lr. No. 67-96-2 dated 2 February 98

SUBJECT.

Requesting report on the petition from Ramaiyanda Patel of Nelakunike, Hirisave Taluk, applying for an order declaring that one half of the registered joint Patels' distribution of the Patel's inam equal shares of each of them.

References (to be entered only in the Dewan's Office.)

Lr. No. 67-96-2 dated 2 February 98

Petn. & Pp.

Lr. No. 136-95-13

18-3-98

OI 67-96 relating to the Subject as per top

Ah 19/3/98 Subh

21/3/98 mg

The circumstances under which a joint patel was appointed are detailed in the Dy Comr's reports Lr. No. 571 dated 23/12/96 & 1165 dated 10/6/97 (several papers in the Open file)

In docket No. 1148 dated 7/6/97 PF-67-96 the Govt authorized the Dy Comr to appoint a joint patel as the existing patel after a trial of one year was found unfit to discharge the duties

Briefly The village is large consisting of 1410-8-11 & assessment (for patel's inam) of 148-4-11 It is admitted that the respondent is an illiterate

Put to Counsel

RDT. 20 Rm. 22.3.98.

Mr 22/3/98

Counsel for the petitioner submits that the Dy Commr has not recorded any finding that the respondent is illiterate. The mere fact that he is illiterate does not disqualify him from being appointed as a joint patel. The Dy Commr has also not recorded any finding that the respondent is unfit to discharge the duties of a patel. The mere fact that he is illiterate does not disqualify him from being appointed as a joint patel. The Dy Commr has also not recorded any finding that the respondent is unfit to discharge the duties of a patel.

10/5/98

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Hassan District.

912

Hassan, the 14th August 1898.

From

The Deputy Commissioner,
Hassan District.

To

The Secretary to the Government of Mysore
General and Revenue Departments

Sir,

Adverting to the official communication noted in the 6th [illegible], dated the 8th instant, praying for early disposal of petition from Ramaji Savaji of Hanumanthnagar village, Channarayapatna Taluk, appealing against the order directing the Patel of the village to be appointed as joint Patel of the village, I have the honour to report that the Karnam of the village corresponded with the Secretary direct on the 26th June last and to date that under the Government Circular cited in the above letter, Narasipura was the Karnam who was then made Patel of Hanumanthnagar village, the former is known to have quitted the village subsequently and the latter is the only resident Patel in each place.

2. It will be observed from the correspondence that Ramaji has not objected to the village being joint, but has been recommended by Narasipura for the joint Patelship of the village and he was appointed Patel also in accordance with it.

3. The [illegible] correspondence, ending with

(Official Memorandum No. 183 dated 8th August 1898.
Reply to the above by Dy. Commr. dated 26th August 1898.
Reference to the above dated 30th [illegible] 1898.
Remainder No. 912, dated 2nd September 1898.
Reply No. 1 [illegible] dated 12th September 1898.
Reply to the above No. 1405 dated 26th September 1898.)

42

the Arsikere Amildar's report No. 52/61, dated 24 July last, as per Perist attached to it, is here with submitted for reference and obliging return and the appeal petition of Ramajje Gowda is also herewith returned with its accompaniment

I have the honour to be

Sir,

Your Most Obedient Servant

Deputy Commissioner

Hassan District.

ಶ್ರೀ ಶಿವರಾತ್ರೀಶ್ವರ ಸಂಸ್ಕೃತ ಕಾಲೇಜಿನ ಪ್ರಾಚಾರ್ಯರಿಗೆ ಮತ್ತು ಸಂಸ್ಕೃತ ಪಂಡಿತರಿಗೆ

ಸಂಸ್ಕೃತ ಕಾಲೇಜಿನ ವಿದ್ಯಾರ್ಥಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಸಂಸ್ಕೃತ ಪಾಠಗಳನ್ನು ಹೇಳಿಕೊಡುವ ಬಗ್ಗೆ

ಮೈಸೂರು ಮಹಾರಾಜರವರ ಆಜ್ಞೆಯಂತೆ ಈ ಕೆಳಕಂಡ ಷರತ್ತುಗಳ ಮೇರೆಗೆ ಸಂಸ್ಕೃತ ಕಾಲೇಜಿನ ವಿದ್ಯಾರ್ಥಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಸಂಸ್ಕೃತ ಪಾಠಗಳನ್ನು ಹೇಳಿಕೊಡಲು ಸಂಸ್ಕೃತ ಪಂಡಿತರನ್ನು ನೇಮಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ.

೧. ಸಂಸ್ಕೃತ ಪಂಡಿತರು ಕಾಲೇಜಿನ ಪ್ರಾಚಾರ್ಯರ ಅಧೀನದಲ್ಲಿರತಕ್ಕದ್ದು.

೨. ಸಂಸ್ಕೃತ ಪಂಡಿತರು ಕಾಲೇಜಿನ ಪ್ರಾಚಾರ್ಯರ ಸಲಹೆಯಂತೆ ಪಾಠಗಳನ್ನು ಹೇಳಿಕೊಡತಕ್ಕದ್ದು.

೩. ಸಂಸ್ಕೃತ ಪಂಡಿತರು ಕಾಲೇಜಿನ ಪ್ರಾಚಾರ್ಯರ ಸಲಹೆಯಂತೆ ಪಾಠಗಳನ್ನು ಹೇಳಿಕೊಡತಕ್ಕದ್ದು.

೪. ಸಂಸ್ಕೃತ ಪಂಡಿತರು ಕಾಲೇಜಿನ ಪ್ರಾಚಾರ್ಯರ ಸಲಹೆಯಂತೆ ಪಾಠಗಳನ್ನು ಹೇಳಿಕೊಡತಕ್ಕದ್ದು.

೫. ಸಂಸ್ಕೃತ ಪಂಡಿತರು ಕಾಲೇಜಿನ ಪ್ರಾಚಾರ್ಯರ ಸಲಹೆಯಂತೆ ಪಾಠಗಳನ್ನು ಹೇಳಿಕೊಡತಕ್ಕದ್ದು.

೬. ಸಂಸ್ಕೃತ ಪಂಡಿತರು ಕಾಲೇಜಿನ ಪ್ರಾಚಾರ್ಯರ ಸಲಹೆಯಂತೆ ಪಾಠಗಳನ್ನು ಹೇಳಿಕೊಡತಕ್ಕದ್ದು.

೭. ಸಂಸ್ಕೃತ ಪಂಡಿತರು ಕಾಲೇಜಿನ ಪ್ರಾಚಾರ್ಯರ ಸಲಹೆಯಂತೆ ಪಾಠಗಳನ್ನು ಹೇಳಿಕೊಡತಕ್ಕದ್ದು.

೮. ಸಂಸ್ಕೃತ ಪಂಡಿತರು ಕಾಲೇಜಿನ ಪ್ರಾಚಾರ್ಯರ ಸಲಹೆಯಂತೆ ಪಾಠಗಳನ್ನು ಹೇಳಿಕೊಡತಕ್ಕದ್ದು.

೯. ಸಂಸ್ಕೃತ ಪಂಡಿತರು ಕಾಲೇಜಿನ ಪ್ರಾಚಾರ್ಯರ ಸಲಹೆಯಂತೆ ಪಾಠಗಳನ್ನು ಹೇಳಿಕೊಡತಕ್ಕದ್ದು.

೧೦. ಸಂಸ್ಕೃತ ಪಂಡಿತರು ಕಾಲೇಜಿನ ಪ್ರಾಚಾರ್ಯರ ಸಲಹೆಯಂತೆ ಪಾಠಗಳನ್ನು ಹೇಳಿಕೊಡತಕ್ಕದ್ದು.

೧೧. ಸಂಸ್ಕೃತ ಪಂಡಿತರು ಕಾಲೇಜಿನ ಪ್ರಾಚಾರ್ಯರ ಸಲಹೆಯಂತೆ ಪಾಠಗಳನ್ನು ಹೇಳಿಕೊಡತಕ್ಕದ್ದು.

೧೨. ಸಂಸ್ಕೃತ ಪಂಡಿತರು ಕಾಲೇಜಿನ ಪ್ರಾಚಾರ್ಯರ ಸಲಹೆಯಂತೆ ಪಾಠಗಳನ್ನು ಹೇಳಿಕೊಡತಕ್ಕದ್ದು.

೧೩. ಸಂಸ್ಕೃತ ಪಂಡಿತರು ಕಾಲೇಜಿನ ಪ್ರಾಚಾರ್ಯರ ಸಲಹೆಯಂತೆ ಪಾಠಗಳನ್ನು ಹೇಳಿಕೊಡತಕ್ಕದ್ದು.

೧೪. ಸಂಸ್ಕೃತ ಪಂಡಿತರು ಕಾಲೇಜಿನ ಪ್ರಾಚಾರ್ಯರ ಸಲಹೆಯಂತೆ ಪಾಠಗಳನ್ನು ಹೇಳಿಕೊಡತಕ್ಕದ್ದು.

೧೫. ಸಂಸ್ಕೃತ ಪಂಡಿತರು ಕಾಲೇಜಿನ ಪ್ರಾಚಾರ್ಯರ ಸಲಹೆಯಂತೆ ಪಾಠಗಳನ್ನು ಹೇಳಿಕೊಡತಕ್ಕದ್ದು.

೧೬. ಸಂಸ್ಕೃತ ಪಂಡಿತರು ಕಾಲೇಜಿನ ಪ್ರಾಚಾರ್ಯರ ಸಲಹೆಯಂತೆ ಪಾಠಗಳನ್ನು ಹೇಳಿಕೊಡತಕ್ಕದ್ದು.

೧೭. ಸಂಸ್ಕೃತ ಪಂಡಿತರು ಕಾಲೇಜಿನ ಪ್ರಾಚಾರ್ಯರ ಸಲಹೆಯಂತೆ ಪಾಠಗಳನ್ನು ಹೇಳಿಕೊಡತಕ್ಕದ್ದು.

೧೮. ಸಂಸ್ಕೃತ ಪಂಡಿತರು ಕಾಲೇಜಿನ ಪ್ರಾಚಾರ್ಯರ ಸಲಹೆಯಂತೆ ಪಾಠಗಳನ್ನು ಹೇಳಿಕೊಡತಕ್ಕದ್ದು.

೧೯. ಸಂಸ್ಕೃತ ಪಂಡಿತರು ಕಾಲೇಜಿನ ಪ್ರಾಚಾರ್ಯರ ಸಲಹೆಯಂತೆ ಪಾಠಗಳನ್ನು ಹೇಳಿಕೊಡತಕ್ಕದ್ದು.

೨೦. ಸಂಸ್ಕೃತ ಪಂಡಿತರು ಕಾಲೇಜಿನ ಪ್ರಾಚಾರ್ಯರ ಸಲಹೆಯಂತೆ ಪಾಠಗಳನ್ನು ಹೇಳಿಕೊಡತಕ್ಕದ್ದು.

ਫ਼ਾਰਮ ਨੰਬਰ ੭ (ਨਿਯਮ ੧੨ ਦੇਖੋ)

ਫ਼ੌਜਦਾਰੀ ਜ਼ਾਬਤਾ ਸੰਹਿਤਾ ਦੀ ਧਾਰਾ ੧੫੪ (੧) ਅਧੀਨ ਇਤਲਾਹ ਦਰਜ ਕਰਨ ਲਈ ਨਮੂਨਾ

ਥਾਣਾ ਸਦਰ ਜ਼ਿਲ੍ਹਾ ਫ਼ਰੀਦਕੋਟ

ਮਿਤੀ ੨੭-੧੨-੨੦੨੧ ਸਮਾਂ ੧੦:੪੫ ਵਜੇ ਸ.

ਇਤਲਾਹ ਨੰਬਰ ੨੭੫ ਮਿਤੀ ੨੭-੧੨-੨੦੨੧ ਸਮਾਂ ੧੧:੩੦ ਵਜੇ ਸ.

ਇਤਲਾਹ ਦੇਣ ਵਾਲੇ ਦਾ ਨਾਂ ਅਤੇ ਪਤਾ: ਸ. ਗੁਰਬਚਨ ਸਿੰਘ ਪੁੱਤਰ ਸ. ਹਰਨਾਮ ਸਿੰਘ, ਵਾਸੀ ਪਿੰਡ ਕੋਟ ਸੁਖੀਆ, ਥਾਣਾ ਸਦਰ ਫ਼ਰੀਦਕੋਟ।

ਜੁਰਮ ਦੀ ਕਿਸਮ: ਚੋਰੀ ਦੇ ਦੋ ਮੋਟਰ ਸਾਈਕਲ ਅਤੇ ਇਕ ਟਰੈਕਟਰ ਦੀ ਬੈਟਰੀ।

ਜੁਰਮ ਦੀ ਥਾਂ, ਸਮਾਂ ਅਤੇ ਦਿਸ਼ਾ: ਪਿੰਡ ਕੋਟ ਸੁਖੀਆ ਦੇ ਬਾਹਰ ਖੇਤਾਂ ਵਿਚ ਬਣੇ ਇਕ ਡੇਰੇ ਤੋਂ, ਰਾਤ ਕਰੀਬ ੨ ਵਜੇ ਤੋਂ ੪ ਵਜੇ ਦੇ ਦਰਮਿਆਨ, ਡੇਰੇ ਤੋਂ ਪਿੰਡ ਵੱਲ ਨੂੰ।

ਜੁਰਮ ਦੇ ਵਾਪਰਨ ਦੇ ਢੰਗ-ਤਰੀਕੇ ਬਾਰੇ ਕੁਝ ਦੱਸਣਾ: ਇਤਲਾਹ ਦੇਣ ਵਾਲੇ ਦੇ ਦੋ ਮੋਟਰ ਸਾਈਕਲ ਅਤੇ ਇਕ ਟਰੈਕਟਰ ਦੀ ਬੈਟਰੀ ਕਿਸੇ ਅਣਪਛਾਤੇ ਵਿਅਕਤੀ ਨੇ ਚੋਰੀ ਕਰ ਲਈ ਹੈ।

ਜੁਰਮ ਦੇ ਸਬੰਧ ਵਿਚ ਕੀਤੀ ਗਈ ਕਾਰਵਾਈ ਬਾਰੇ ਕੁਝ ਦੱਸਣਾ: ਇਤਲਾਹ ਦੇਣ ਵਾਲੇ ਨੇ ਆਪਣੇ ਪੱਧਰ 'ਤੇ ਆਲੇ-ਦੁਆਲੇ ਭਾਲ ਕੀਤੀ ਹੈ।

ਜੁਰਮ ਦੇ ਸਬੰਧ ਵਿਚ ਕੀਤੀ ਗਈ ਕਾਰਵਾਈ ਬਾਰੇ ਕੁਝ ਦੱਸਣਾ: ਇਤਲਾਹ ਦੇਣ ਵਾਲੇ ਨੇ ਆਪਣੇ ਪੱਧਰ 'ਤੇ ਆਲੇ-ਦੁਆਲੇ ਭਾਲ ਕੀਤੀ ਹੈ।

ਜੁਰਮ ਦੇ ਸਬੰਧ ਵਿਚ ਕੀਤੀ ਗਈ ਕਾਰਵਾਈ ਬਾਰੇ ਕੁਝ ਦੱਸਣਾ: ਇਤਲਾਹ ਦੇਣ ਵਾਲੇ ਨੇ ਆਪਣੇ ਪੱਧਰ 'ਤੇ ਆਲੇ-ਦੁਆਲੇ ਭਾਲ ਕੀਤੀ ਹੈ।

ਜੁਰਮ ਦੇ ਸਬੰਧ ਵਿਚ ਕੀਤੀ ਗਈ ਕਾਰਵਾਈ ਬਾਰੇ ਕੁਝ ਦੱਸਣਾ: ਇਤਲਾਹ ਦੇਣ ਵਾਲੇ ਨੇ ਆਪਣੇ ਪੱਧਰ 'ਤੇ ਆਲੇ-ਦੁਆਲੇ ਭਾਲ ਕੀਤੀ ਹੈ।

(6) ૪૫ ૪૫૫૫

ਸਾਰੇ ਸਾਥੀਆਂ ਨੂੰ ਸੂਚਿਤ ਕੀਤਾ ਜਾਂਦਾ ਹੈ ਕਿ ਉਹ ਸਮੇਂ ਸਿਰ ਪਹੁੰਚ ਕੇ ਮੀਟਿੰਗ ਨੂੰ ਸਫਲ ਬਣਾਉਣ।

ਧੰਨਵਾਦ ਸਹਿਤ

ਸਕੱਤਰ

ਮਿਤੀ 29-11-97

No. 1707

47

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE.
PETITION DEPARTMENT.
REG'D. No. 17071
DATE 3-1-98

Bylahonagala
31/12/97
Affirmed

ಸನ್ಮಾನ್ಯ ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಆರ್. ಬೊಮ್ಮಾಯಿ ಅವರು ಮುಖ್ಯಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳು
ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ಸರ್ಕಾರ
ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು

ಮಾನ್ಯರೇ,

ಸವಿನಯ ಸಲ್ಲಿಕೆ ಏನೆಂದರೆ — ಈ ಕೆಳಕಂಡ ವಿಷಯಗಳ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ತಮ್ಮ ಗಮನಕ್ಕೆ ತರಲು ಇಚ್ಛಿಸುತ್ತೇನೆ.

ವಿಷಯ: ಕೆಳಕಂಡ ಪೌರಕಾರ್ಮಿಕರನ್ನು ಕಾಯಂಗೊಳಿಸಿ ಆದೇಶ ಹೊರಡಿಸುವ ಬಗ್ಗೆ.

ಉಲ್ಲೇಖ: ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಆದೇಶ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ: ನಅಇ/12/ಎಂಪಿಸಿ/96 ದಿನಾಂಕ 12-7-96

ಮೇಲ್ಕಂಡ ವಿಷಯ ಮತ್ತು ಉಲ್ಲೇಖಕ್ಕೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದಂತೆ ಈ ಕೆಳಕಂಡ ಪೌರಕಾರ್ಮಿಕರನ್ನು ಕಾಯಂಗೊಳಿಸಿ ಆದೇಶ ಹೊರಡಿಸಲು ಕೋರಿದೆ.

ಕ್ರ.ಸಂ. 1 ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಮಾದೇಗೌಡ ತಂದೆ ಕೆಂಪೇಗೌಡ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ 9447 ಪೌರಕಾರ್ಮಿಕರು ಮಾಜಿ ಪೌರಕಾರ್ಮಿಕರು ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ 96-97

ಮೇಲ್ಕಂಡ ಪೌರಕಾರ್ಮಿಕರು ಮಾಜಿ ಪೌರಕಾರ್ಮಿಕರು ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ 96-97 ರಲ್ಲಿ ಕೆಲಸ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದು ಪ್ರಸ್ತುತ ಕೆಲಸ ಮಾಡುತ್ತಿರುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಇವರನ್ನು ಕಾಯಂಗೊಳಿಸಲು ಸಾಧ್ಯವಿಲ್ಲ.

ಮೇಲ್ಕಂಡ ಪೌರಕಾರ್ಮಿಕರು ಮಾಜಿ ಪೌರಕಾರ್ಮಿಕರು ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ 96-97 ರಲ್ಲಿ ಕೆಲಸ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದು ಪ್ರಸ್ತುತ ಕೆಲಸ ಮಾಡುತ್ತಿರುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಇವರನ್ನು ಕಾಯಂಗೊಳಿಸಲು ಸಾಧ್ಯವಿಲ್ಲ.

ಸಹಾಯಕ ಕಾರ್ಯದರ್ಶಿಗಳು
ಪೌರಾಡಳಿತ ಇಲಾಖೆ
ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು ದಿನಾಂಕ 13-12-97

ಸಹಾಯಕ ಕಾರ್ಯದರ್ಶಿಗಳು ಪೌರಾಡಳಿತ ಇಲಾಖೆ ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು ಇವರಿಗೆ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿರುವ ಮನವಿಯ ಪ್ರತಿಯನ್ನು ಇದರೊಂದಿಗೆ ಲಗತ್ತಿಸಿದೆ.

ಸಹಾಯಕ ಕಾರ್ಯದರ್ಶಿಗಳು ಪೌರಾಡಳಿತ ಇಲಾಖೆ ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು ಇವರಿಗೆ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿರುವ ಮನವಿಯ ಪ್ರತಿಯನ್ನು ಇದರೊಂದಿಗೆ ಲಗತ್ತಿಸಿದೆ.

ತಮ್ಮ ವಿಶ್ವಾಸಿ

ತಮ್ಮ ವಿಶ್ವಾಸಿ

ਦਸਤਖਤ ਕਰਨ ਵਾਲੇ ਦਾ ਨਾਮ

ਮਿਤੀ 15/12/97

ਨੋਟ :- ਇਹ ਫਾਰਮ ਸਿਰਫ ਉਹਨਾਂ ਵਿਅਕਤੀਆਂ ਵਾਸਤੇ ਹੈ ਜਿਹੜੇ ਕਿ ਪਹਿਲਾਂ ਕਦੇ ਵੀ ਵੋਟਰ ਨਹੀਂ ਬਣੇ ਹਨ।

ਫਾਰਮ ਨੰ. 1 ਵੋਟਰ ਸੂਚੀ ਵਿਚ ਨਾਮ ਦਰਜ ਕਰਨ ਲਈ ਦਰਖਾਸਤ ਫਾਰਮ

ਸੇਵਾ ਵਿਖੇ,

ਸਹਾਇਕ ਰਜਿਸਟ੍ਰੇਸ਼ਨ ਅਫਸਰ,
ਵਿਧਾਨ ਸਭਾ ਹਲਕਾ ਨੰ. 13-ਮੁਕੇਰੀਆਂ,
ਜ਼ਿਲ੍ਹਾ ਹੁਸ਼ਿਆਰਪੁਰ।

ਮੈਂ ਇਸ ਦਰਖਾਸਤ ਰਾਹੀਂ ਆਪ ਜੀ ਨੂੰ ਬੇਨਤੀ ਕਰਦਾ/ਕਰਦੀ ਹਾਂ ਕਿ ਮੈਨੂੰ ਵਿਧਾਨ ਸਭਾ ਹਲਕਾ ਨੰ. 13-ਮੁਕੇਰੀਆਂ ਦੀ ਵੋਟਰ ਸੂਚੀ ਵਿਚ ਵੋਟਰ ਵਜੋਂ ਦਰਜ ਕੀਤਾ ਜਾਵੇ। ਮੇਰੇ ਵੇਰਵੇ ਹੇਠ ਲਿਖੇ ਅਨੁਸਾਰ ਹਨ :-

1. ਨਾਮ ਪੂਰਾ (ਅੰਗਰੇਜ਼ੀ ਦੇ ਵੱਡੇ ਅੱਖਰਾਂ ਵਿਚ) — ਜਗਦੀਪ ਸਿੰਘ ਪੁੱਤਰ ਸ. ਸੁਰਜੀਤ ਸਿੰਘ
2. ਲਿੰਗ — ਪੁਰਸ਼
3. ਜਨਮ ਮਿਤੀ ਜਾਂ ਉਮਰ — ਜਨਮ ਮਿਤੀ 14/10/1979
4. ਪਤਾ ਜਿਸ ਤੇ ਵੋਟਰ ਵਜੋਂ ਦਰਜ ਕੀਤਾ ਜਾਣਾ ਹੈ — ਮਕਾਨ ਨੰ. 47, ਗਲੀ ਨੰ. 12, ਮੁਹੱਲਾ ਨਵੀਂ ਆਬਾਦੀ, ਮੁਕੇਰੀਆਂ
5. ਪਿਤਾ ਦਾ ਨਾਮ — ਸ. ਸੁਰਜੀਤ ਸਿੰਘ
6. ਮਾਤਾ ਦਾ ਨਾਮ — ਸ੍ਰੀਮਤੀ ਕੁਲਵੰਤ ਕੌਰ
7. ਪਤੀ ਦਾ ਨਾਮ — ਲਾਗੂ ਨਹੀਂ
8. ਕੀ ਤੁਸੀਂ ਕਿਸੇ ਹੋਰ ਥਾਂ ਤੇ ਵੋਟਰ ਵਜੋਂ ਦਰਜ ਹੋ? — ਨਹੀਂ
9. ਕੀ ਤੁਸੀਂ ਕਿਸੇ ਹੋਰ ਵਿਧਾਨ ਸਭਾ ਹਲਕੇ ਵਿਚ ਵੋਟਰ ਵਜੋਂ ਦਰਜ ਹੋ? — ਨਹੀਂ

ਮੈਂ ਇਹ ਐਲਾਨ ਕਰਦਾ/ਕਰਦੀ ਹਾਂ ਕਿ ਉਪਰ ਦਿੱਤਾ ਸਾਰਾ ਵੇਰਵਾ ਮੇਰੀ ਜਾਣਕਾਰੀ ਅਨੁਸਾਰ ਸਹੀ ਹੈ।

49 (18) 16/5/98

L.R. 136-95-14

Repie
19-8-98

91

(2)

1898

No. 370

Name of Petitioner [illegible]

Village [illegible]

Taluk [illegible]

District

Date of Arzi 11-8-98

Date of Receipt 12-8-98

Date of Disposal

No. of Enclosure One petition of Vakalat.

1707 [illegible]

Dy 1326-98-98

(1) Issue Rejection Slip after sufficient

(2) Decline

(3) Application for review of Court Fee No 1410-1707 dated 13-8-98 declining to interfere passed on his appeal (S. 1018)

24-8-98

Sub 5 Rec C'ED

Dy 24/8/98

186

Sub Ex

Dy 2/9/98

RR 7.30 Pm
3-9-98

Notice issued
Mr Ramaswamy Ayyar
Advocate
9-9-98

Issued Dy 10/9/98 Sub Ex Asst 13/9/98

ABSTRACT

Prays for the review of the order passed in Case No 1410-1707 of 13-8-98 dismissing his petition for setting aside the sale of his property in execution of a decree obtained against him by the 1st respondent.

The petitioner says:-

He is compelled to seek the help of this Court in this matter as he is unable to get justice in the Court of the Subordinate Judge of Tiruvallur.

The petitioner's property was sold in execution of a decree obtained against him by the 1st respondent.

The petitioner's property was sold for a sum of Rs 1,000 only.

Petitioner's property is worth Rs 5,000 and more and the 1st respondent ought not to be allowed to realise more than the amount due to him.



370

Box No 1 Petitions
12/8/98

Two Rupees stamp affixed

Before the Honourable the Councillors Bangalore

Revenue Petitions No. of 1898-99

(1) In the matter of Petitions Nos. 210 and 207 dated respectively the 29th day of November 897 and the 25th day of December 897

(2) In the matter of the Order dated the 3rd day of May 1898.

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE.
PETITION DEPARTMENT.
RECD. No. 370 R
DATE 12-8-98

Ramaye Gowda ... Petitioner

The Petitioner above-named most humbly and respectfully prays that the Honorable the Councillors may be pleased to review the Order of the 3rd day of May 898 on the following reasons, namely:-

That although the village of which he is the Patel by inheritance is said to be pretty large, he is honorably, quite Independent above & fulfil and carry out satisfactorily all the duties that appertain to his office.

That in the event of the Government not disposed to re-consider the Order now sought to be reviewed, the Petitioner most humbly and respectfully begs that his nearest

Petitioner, namely, his Concubine may be associated with him, and that Karlé Gouda, who is an utter Stranger to him and an outsider may be removed.

That the said Karlé Gouda, is in no way a Claimant, save that he was once employed to act during the absence of the Petitioner.

That he begs humbly to submit that the Principle of Equality between himself and the said Karlé Gouda is neither reasonable nor Equitable.

That the order of the Respondent Commissioner appointing Karlé Gouda as joint Bailiff is, he ventures to submit, very hard upon him (Petitioner).

For the above reasons Petitioner respectfully prays that the Order may be reviewed, and modified to the Extent and in the manner as above sought for.

Petitioner further begs that, permission may be accorded for his Counsel (C. H. Hayes Esquire, Barrister at Law) to appear for him and argue this Petition.

And will Ever Pray.

C. H. Hayes
Barrister at Law
and Counsel for Petn

Petitioner

Dated Bangalore the 11th day of August 98.

574

In the Court of the Councillors to the Govt. of Mysore—

Civil Revenue Petn No. of 1898-99

Ramajje Gowda ..... Petitioner/Plaintiff/appellant

versus

Harle Gowda ..... Contra-Petitioner/Defendant/Respondent

I Ramajje Gowda the Petitioner (appellant)

above named do hereby nominate and appoint J. W. Hayes, Esquire, Gentleman, Barrister-at-Law to be my Counsel in the above suit, and I do hereby empower my said Counsel to appear for and on my behalf in the above suit, to take all and any steps which may be necessary on my behalf arising out of or in connection with the above suit either during its progress or after its decision; to sign and subscribe his name to any document which he may deem proper; to receive out of the said Court any sum or sums of money which may be lodged or become payable or receivable on my behalf and on receipt thereof to grant receipts or other sufficient discharges for the same; to withdraw, compromise or refer to arbitration the said suit; to appoint one or more substitute or substitutes and to act and perform all other matters and things relating to or connected therewith; to represent me in all matters relating to the same and to act in the premises as fully and effectually to all intents and purposes as I might do if personally present and did the same; AND I do hereby agree to pay all Fees, Costs, Charges and expenses which may be incurred and to allow, ratify and confirm all and singular whatsoever my said Counsel shall lawfully do or cause to be done in the premises by virtue hereof.

Dated this the 4th day of August 1898.

ರಾಮಜ್ಜ ಗೌಡರವರ

The Deponent Ramajje Gowda has signed his Power of Attorney in my presence.

Rishikesh Haylesh

Accepted

Rishikesh Haylesh.

Barrister-at-Law and Counsel for.

Appellant

L.R. 136-95-16

13/9/98